Lecture 4 Recurrence Relations

Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus

May 7, 2020

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで 1/10

Business

- Homework 1 due Monday night 11:59PM Boston time. Use Piazza to ask questions!
- Office hours are in Piazza
 - Pinned post describing all of them
 - Zoom links under Staff \rightarrow Resources.
 - Please email the person whose office hours you plan to attend beforehand! No need for a lot of detail, just when you are joining and what you want to talk about.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Today

Clean up asymptotic analysis from last time

<□> <□> <□> <□> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧ < つへで 3/19

- Proving Recurrences
- Recursion Trees

Summary from last time

- Asymptotic analysis is a powerful and flexible framework for reasoning about functional growth
- Capital symbols O and Ω represent *tight* upper and lower bounds
 - Tight bounds "follow" or "scale proportionately with" the bounding function
 - Loose bounds are "left behind" because the bounding function grows more quickly

We will be almost always interested in the big-O, worst-case runtime of an algorithm

Asymptotic notation, in 3 plots



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

3

• f(n) is the function we want to bound

- g(n) is the function we are using to bound f(n)
- $c \in \mathbb{R}$ plays slightly different roles for upper/lower:
 - Upper: Push g(n) above f(n)
 - Lower: Pull g(n) as close as possible to f(n)

CLR Textbook Figure 2.1

Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus

Okay, back to recursion...

Recall:

- We studied MergeSort, a procedure for recursively sorting a list of numbers
- ▶ We proved the correctness of MergeSort using two inductive proofs
 - First we proved the subroutine Merge was correct, then we showed that if Merge is correct MergeSort must also be correct.
- We wrote down a recurrence relation that describes the run time of MergeSort

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● の < ⊙

• We claimed that $T(n) = O(n \log n)$. Today we will learn how to show this is true.

 Divide and Conquer algorithms often have recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = rT(\frac{n}{c}) + f(n)$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ≧ → ◆ ≧ → ○ ● ⑦ へ ● 7/19

for some constants r, c and some function f(n)

 Divide and Conquer algorithms often have recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = rT(\frac{n}{c}) + f(n)$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 → � < ⊙ < ⊙

8/19

for some constants r, c and some function f(n)

Question: What are r, c, and f(n) for MergeSort?

Divide and Conquer algorithms often have recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = rT(\frac{n}{c}) + f(n)$$

<ロ><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><0<0<9/19

► We can solve recurrences using *Recursion Trees*

 Divide and Conquer algorithms often have recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = rT(\frac{n}{c}) + f(n)$$

► We can solve recurrences using *Recursion Trees*



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで



Figure 1.9. A recursion tree for the recurrence T(n) = r T(n/c) + f(n)

We can solve a recurrence by summing the values in the nodes

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} r^{i} f(\frac{n}{b^{i}})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへで

where $L = \log_c n$ represents the depth of the tree

Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus

Recall our simplified MergeSort recurrence

$$T(n)=2T(\frac{n}{2})+O(n)$$

What will be the value of the root node in the recursion tree?How many nodes will be at the 2nd level?

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$$



Figure 1.10. The recursion tree for mergesort

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$$



Figure 1.10. The recursion tree for mergesort

Question: Can you see a straightforward way to get the sum of the nodes in this tree? Hint: Think level-by-level



Figure 1.10. The recursion tree for mergesort

There are 2^i nodes at level *i*, each with value $\frac{n}{2^i}$, so every level sums to *n*. So we get

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n$$

Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus



Figure 1.10. The recursion tree for mergesort

I argue we are done now - why?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ 臣 - の�� 16/19

Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$$
$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} n = O(nL) = O(n \log n)$$



Figure 1.10. The recursion tree for mergesort

So we find confirm that MergeSort runs in $O(n \log n)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 めへで

Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus

Wrap up

Please work on the homework and ask questions on Piazza!

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ · の Q · 18/19

No suggested reading for next class at this point. I may announce some tomorrow.

Final Thoughts



Tim LaRock larock.t@northeastern.edu bit.ly/cs3000syllabus